

To: Fehrenbach, Margie[Fehrenbach.Margie@epa.gov]
From: Housenger, Jack
Sent: Mon 9/8/2014 11:25:18 AM
Subject: RE: Discussion with Jack Housenger, Director, Office of Pesticide Programs, regarding 2,4-D Assessment

Ok good

Thanks

Sounds like he doesn't want to hear what we have to say

He's made up his mind already

From: Fehrenbach, Margie
Sent: Sunday, September 07, 2014 7:36 PM
To: Housenger, Jack
Subject: FW: Discussion with Jack Housenger, Director, Office of Pesticide Programs, regarding 2,4-D Assessment

Jack--On Friday, I had shared with Felecia the planned note to Landrigan about the second offer to have a conference call. She showed it to Jim and he approved sending it after I removed one sentence: "OPP's current assessment is based on everything that has been reviewed by OPP's scientists up to this point and described in our proposal." This was a sentence that Bill had initially suggested. So, I went ahead and took out the sentence and sent the note below.

Not sure if you noticed when Landrigan originally responded to me that he copied Ken Cook and Gary Hirshberg.

From: Fehrenbach, Margie
Sent: Sunday, September 7, 2014 7:26 PM
To: phil.landrigan@mssm.edu
Cc: john.wargo@yale.edu
Subject: Discussion with Jack Housenger, Director, Office of Pesticide Programs, regarding 2,4-D Assessment

Dear Dr. Landrigan:

Thank you for responding to this request. We understand and very much appreciate that you have a lot on your plate next week regarding your important work related to medical care for WTC responders following 9/11. If possible, would you consider a conference call/meeting the following week so that our Director and senior scientists can have a chance to more fully discuss the 2,4-D risk assessment and EPA's shared desire to protect public health, including and especially children. OPP scientists believe it would be valuable to have a detailed, scientific discussion of both our rationale and your concerns about it as well as your thoughts on the subject.

Please let me know at your earliest convenience. Thank you.

Margie Fehrenbach

Executive Assistant to the Director

Office of Pesticide Programs

703 308-4775

From: Landrigan, Philip [<mailto:phil.landrigan@mssm.edu>]

Sent: Friday, September 05, 2014 11:21 AM

To: Fehrenbach, Margie

Cc: john.wargo@yale.edu; Gary Hirshberg; Kenneth Cook

Subject: RE: Discussion with Jack Housenger, Director, Office of Pesticide Programs, regarding 2,4-D Assessment

Dear Margie

I am pretty much tied up all next week with 9/11 work. My Department at Mount Sinai is responsible for providing medical care to over 20,000 of the WTC first responders, and there is always a lot to be done each year around the anniversary.

I continue to stand by the position that I expressed last week in our meeting with Jack and Administrator McCarthy that the scientific base of developmental toxicology studies that would justify waiving the 10-fold, child-protective safety factor for 2, 4-D is thin, outdated and totally inadequate.

I believe strongly that the 10x safety factor should be imposed in this registration of 2, 4-D, and I believe that EPA is in error in making the decision to waive.

America's children will be placed at risk as a result of this unfortunate decision, which in my view runs counter to both the spirit and the letter of the Food Quality Protection Act of 1996.

Sincerely,

Philip J. Landrigan, MD, MSc, FAAP

Dean for Global Health

Ethel H. Wise Professor and Chairman

Department of Preventive Medicine

Professor of Pediatrics

Director, Children's Environmental Health Center

Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai

17 East 102nd Street, Room D3-145

New York, NY 10029-65

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

phil.landrigan@mssm.edu



WHO Collaborating Centre in Children's Environmental Health

Felecia A. Fort

Chief of Staff (acting) to Jim Jones, Assistant Administrator

Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention

WJC East 3148A

202-564-6239